

1 Hon. Richard A. Jones
2
3
4
5
6

7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT SEATTLE
10
11

12 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) NO. CR 14-156RAJ
13 Plaintiff,) ORDER GRANTING
14 vs.) UNOPPOSED MOTION TO CONTINUE
15) TRIAL DATE AND PRETRIAL
16 WILLIAM BROOK,) MOTIONS DUE DATE
17)
18 Defendant.)
19)
20)
21)
22)
23)
24)
25)
26)

THE COURT having considered the unopposed motion of the parties to continue the trial date and extend the due date of the pretrial motions, and the records and files herein, the Court hereby makes the following findings:


1. The Court finds that a failure to grant the requested continuances would deny counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
2. The Court further finds that the ends of justice will be served by ordering the continuances in this case and that the continuances are necessary to ensure effective trial preparation and that these factors outweigh the best interests of the defendant and the public in a more speedy trial, within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the parties' unopposed motion to continue the trial date and pretrial motions deadline (Dkt. #22) is GRANTED. This trial date in this matter is continued from July 28, 2014, to October 27, 2014.

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all pretrial motions, including motions in limine, shall
2 be filed no later than September 18, 2014.

3 FURTHERMORE, IT IS ORDERED that the period of time from the date of this order
4 to the new trial date of October 27, 2014, shall be excludable time pursuant to the Speedy
5 Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, *et seq.*

6 DATED this 16th day of June, 2014.
7

8 
9 _____
10 The Honorable Richard A. Jones
United States District Judge

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26